# PENSPEN

### This document was downloaded from the Penspen Integrity Virtual Library

For further information, contact Penspen Integrity:

Penspen Integrity Units 7-8 St. Peter's Wharf Newcastle upon Tyne NE6 1TZ United Kingdom

Telephone: +44 (0)191 238 2200 Fax: +44 (0)191 275 9786 Email: <u>integrity.ncl@penspen.com</u> Website: <u>www.penspenintegrity.com</u>

# Pipeline Integrity Reviews - A Holistic Approach

**Phil Hopkins** 

Andrew Palmer & Associates, UK Part of Penspen Ltd email phil.hopkins@apancl.co.uk

Paper presented at:

Pipeline Pigging, Integrity Assessment and Repair Conference. February 2001, Houston.





### **Presentation Structure**

- Background to paper
- Change
- Safety of Ageing Pipelines
- Move to Risk Management
- Pipeline Integrity Reviews and Their Benefits
  - Conducting a Pipeline Integrity Review (PIR)
  - Fitness for purpose
  - Risk analysis
  - Who should conduct a PIR
  - Pipeline Management Systems
- Ten key considerations for all pipeline engineers when considering your pipeline's safety and integrity



### Background

- ne USA pipeline industry is about to commence integrity anagement programs, encouraged by regulations. The core of ese programs will be detailed reviews of pipeline integrity
- ndrew Palmer & Associates have been conducting integrity reviews aseline assessments) for clients worldwide:
- UK uprating of 25 year old system
- Asia assess safety & rerating of 40 year old pipeline
- Africa third party commercial reasons
- General pipeline management systems
- e've learnt that:
- Not sufficient just to look at 'integrity'
- Not sufficient to focus on the pipeline alone
- You must look at the whole pipeline system, as all aspects contribute to safety - a 'holistic' approach.
- You must have a pipeline management system
- e'd like to share our experiences/expertise with you, as you start

### Background

- ou will be needing to thoroughly review your integrity in USA ou may call this review a 'baseline' assessment, and
- ou may call the overall framework 'integrity management' and
- ou may call you integrity assessments or the procedure for otaining a plan to reduce risk 'direct assessment',
- It all this is accommodated in the Pipeline Integrity Review. The major difference is that the Pipeline Integrity Review is
- plicitly...

# HOLISTIC



Change in our world... the family.

The whole world is changing, not just the pipeline ousiness. Consider the effects of technology on our amily:

Central Heating - we no longer need to sit ogether for warmth

Microwave cookers - we no longer have to sit ogether for eating

Electronic games - we no longer have to sit ogether to play

Cell phones - we no longer have to be ogether at all!

### Change in people....

e are g.

very morning I k in the mirror, I think I look er. Sadly, I'm ing myself.... onsider how hour is nging... for mple in a hb squad....



## Change in pipeline construction... little change.



•NEW



### Remember... Pipeline Engineers are like surgeons...

### Change in pipeline testing....



We have seen major changes in testing our lines.
From limited gas testing, to
high level water testing (1960s) to

low resolution smart pig inspection (1970s) to
high resolution smart pig inspection (1980s)





### Pipeline safety... recent USA failures









•Images taken from OPS website: ops.gov.com

### Pipeline safety... not confined to USA

ers e



### Pipeline failures... why?

CAUSES	US GAS	EUROPEAN GAS	CANADA GAS	US OIL	EUROPEAN OIL	HUNGARIAN OIL & GAS
HIRD PARTY	40.4	28.2	12.6	21.5	47.5	56.5
CORROSION	20.4	15.7	11.6	21.7	27.7	17.6
ATERIAL AND DNSTRUCTION DEFECT	12.7	9.5	34.3	11.5	23.4	12.9
ATIONAL ERROR	26.4	46.5	41.5	45.4	4.3	12.9
ENTS/ 1000 M YR	0.26	1.85	2.93	1.33	0.83	4.03

You MUST have an holistic approach to integrity, to prevent all these failures, AND

Smart pigging, risk management programmes, correct routeing

### Pipeline safety... why are we having 'more' failures?

Our pipelines are getting older, and we expect the same/more performance.

But we still treat them the same...?

This cannot be a decision from an engineer!

USA system oldest in the world

If it's going to happen, it will happen here first....
 More buildings/activities around pipelines; increased consequences
 We are increasingly treating engineering as a 'commodity'

Commodities (e.g. sugar) are price-driven, and not perceived as complicated or having variable quality

This may be true of, e.g. linepipe, in our industry

But it is not true of anything related to safety and environment
 Some of our operators have downsized

■losing the 'grey hairs',

under-strength or under-trained or 'under-experienced', and

**I**losing 'corporate memory', and engineering objectivity.

Our regulators are under pressure to reduce size... the 'more for less' pressure is on our civil services

### Safety of Ageing Pipelines

bod maintenance and management of ageing pipelines allows them be operated safely for many years. FAILURES NEED NOT HAPPEN!

orrosion Spills/year/1000km





### **Change to Risk Management**

### **Change to Risk Management - 'Proactive'**

he change to 'proactive' safety requires formal identification nd management of risk. This is an international movement:

- USA Office of Pipeline Safety has a risk demonstration programme, and see risk management as a potential method of producing equal or greater levels of safety in a more cost effective manner that the current regulatory regime
- UK Pipelines Safety Regulations:
  - Goal-setting, not prescriptive
  - The Regulations require a 'major accident prevention document', including safety management system.
- Canada has non-mandatory 'Guidelines for Risk Analysis of Pipelines' in its pipeline code.
  - The European Commission is reviewing 'major accident' pipelines, and are likely to require operators to have a 'major

**Pipeline Integrity Reviews** 

**Pipeline Integrity Reviews** 

**•IN THE USA YOU WILL HAVE:** 

• 'FINAL RULE' + API 1160 = INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT

•This can be simply represented by:

Pipeline integrity review

Pipeline management system

Integrity management

### The Need For Pipeline Integrity Reviews, and Their Benefits

- There are six main reasons why an operator may need to review the integrity of a pipeline:
  - Continuing safety, security and compliance.
  - Cost effectiveness.
  - Poor documentation.
  - Change or extension of use.
  - Revalidation.
  - Change of ownership or third party access.

### The Need For Pipeline Integrity Reviews, and Their Benefits

# he benefits of conducting an integrity review nclude:

- Compliance with regulations
- Factual demonstration to all stakeholders of pipeline safety and corporate commitment to safety
- Pipeline health check.
- Confirmation of safe operating limits.
- Independent review of design and operation.
- Identify and justify any requirement for remedial action.
- Identify any weaknesses in management procedures.

### **Conducting a Pipeline Integrity Review**

A Pipeline integrity review is a review of a pipeline system that, is a minimum, includes analysis of:

- The pipeline's design, construction and commissioning,
- Pipeline route and hazards (e.g. proximity of housing, subsidence areas or seabed profile),
- Operating history, practices and management,
- Current condition via inspection records, failures, downtime, etc.,
- Practices for inspection and maintenance of the pipelines,
- Hydraulic/compression, including delivery forecasts and expansion plans,
- Product quality; both current and future quality is considered,
- Safety and environmental procedures and systems,
- The critical parts of the pipeline system (pipelines, SCADA systems, gas, conditioning stations, valve stations, pig

### Pipeline Integrity Review - Execution plan

ITEM	DESCRIPTION		
1. PIR Objective, Scope and Overview of Programme.	i. Descriptions, and ii. Timetable		
2. Overview of Activities	<ul> <li>i. Office Set Up (if needed),</li> <li>ii. Mobilisation of Review Teams,</li> <li>iii. Kick-Off Meetings/Documentation Review,</li> <li>iv. Data Gathering and Analysis by Discipline (Item 4),</li> <li>v. Integrity and Risk Review (Item 5),</li> <li>vi. Reporting, with Corrective Actions.</li> </ul>		
3. Activity Schedule	<ul> <li>i. Pre-Data Gathering Reviews,</li> <li>ii. Data Analysis &amp; Report Preparation,</li> <li>iii. Review Report Submission,</li> <li>iv. Clarification Meetings on Draft Review Report,</li> <li>v. Final Report and Corrective Actions Report.</li> </ul>		
4. Scope of Data Gathering Activities by Discipline (many disciplines may be needed during the review)	<ul> <li>i. Process,</li> <li>ii. Mechanical,</li> <li>iii. Electrical,</li> <li>iv. Instrumentation/Control,</li> <li>v. Risk and Integrity (Item 5),</li> <li>vi. Pipeline Engineering,</li> <li>vii. Cathodic Protection,</li> <li>viii. Safety and Environment.</li> </ul>		
5. Pipeline Fitness for Purpose and Risk Review	i. See Section 4.3.4.		
6 Additional Information Required from Integrity	i. CVs of Key Personnel, ii. Organigram, iii. HSE Plan,		

Fitness for Purpose and Risk Review

One part of the Pipeline Integrity Review is the FP and Risk Review. This is a major topic of his conference, so it is worthy of mention.

**These reviews will:** 

- Contribute to the overall expert opinion on the integrity of pipeline system.
- Identify critical sections of the pipeline system (e.g. in terms of security of supply).
- Assess the remnant life of the pipeline, and its ability to withstand either its existing duty, or change of duty.
- Provide a review of the risks associated with the pipeline in both its current and future condition.

### Fitness for Purpose and Risk Review

- <u>The 'fitness for purpose' review</u> will usually focus on any defects (e.g. corrosion) or damage (e.g. dents) recorded/anticipated in the pipeline, as these will be the results of the major hazards to the pipeline (e.g. mechanical damage, or deteriorating coating). This review will help us determine the PROBABILITY (LIKELIHOOD) of a failure; however, this review does not take account of the CONSEQUENCES of any failure.
- The 'risk' review will take account consequences, as:

- RISK = PROBABILITY OF FAILURE x CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE
- But don't forget, we also need to take account 'GAIN'. We balance our risk with our gain. This is controversial and usually avoided in public, but ESSENTIAL.
- Why? Because it is often the operator who gains by increasing risks, and the public who loses.

### Fitness for purpose assessment of defects

ness for Purpose - defect assessment.

is is a mature subject and you can assess existing defects, you can uate future defect occurrence and growth using these methods.

u can also determine when to repair defects, which pig to use, how n, and whether you need to hydrotest or not.



### **Risk Assessments**

isk Assessment is essential, and you have many risk sessments methods and packages to choose from. ere's one:

	Pipeline	PER	
External Corrosion External Corrosion Fatigue Stress Corrosion Cracking Mechanical Damage Loss of Ground Support Third Party Intervention		Risk to Life Damage to Property Loss of Service Cost of Failure Environmental Effects	
Probability of Failure		Consequences of Eailure	
	PRIORITY RATING		

### **Results of a risk assessment**

### esults from part of a Qualitative Risk Assessment



Some Decisions made in a PIR

- Outcome of FFP and Risk Assessment may include recommendations for:
- Smart pigging,
- Hydrotesting,
- Critical point inspection,
- Cathodic protection testing,
- Repair methods,
- Other rehabilitation methods.

Note that the results of the risk review are important, but the most important feature of the risk review is the PROCESS we do through in identifying risks and understanding our pipeline

**Who should conducting a PIR?** 

- The review should be conducted by an organisation that can offer the following skills:
  - Independence
  - Design, construction and commissioning of above and below ground plant (or offshore and subsea plant if appropriate),
  - Operation, inspection and maintenance of pipeline systems,
  - Communications and instrumentation,
  - Defect assessment, repair and rehabilitation,
  - Risk and safety assessments,
  - Management consultancy,
    - \_\_\_\_\_

### **A Pipeline Integrity Review Report**

### The integrity review report should include:

- An overall view of pipeline system condition:
  - Wellheads / above ground installations
  - Pipeline
  - Cathodic protection
  - Controls
  - Associated facilities
  - Operation and Maintenance
  - Recommendations on:
    - Modification and Repair
    - Inspection
    - Future operation
  - An appraisal of management system and procedures

Pipeline Management System\*

Pipeline Management System

Pipeline integrity review

• Pipeline management system

Integrity management





•Changing to a safer pipeline....

ey Consideration 1. Change Requires New Inking



'The significant problems we face, cannot be solved at the same level of thinking we were when we created them'.

### ey Consideration 2. Change Will be Resisted



'There is nothing more difficult to plan, more doubtful of success, nor more dangerous to manage than the creation of a new system.

For the initiator has the enmity of all who would profit by preservation of the old institutions, and merely luke warm defenders in those who

### ey Consideration 3. Corporate Culture

In pipeline operation, our maintenance costs are minor ompared to our corporate overhead.

It is a fact that large organisations operating pipelines will at costs on maintaining their pipelines, but let junior kecutives travel over the world in business class, or waste me on meetings that all participants know are a waste of me.

These companies are both out of date, not serving their usiness goals, and creating imbalances between the

### ey Consideration 4. Selecting the lowest bid

n't let you Contract/Finance Department select pipeline contracts or ces. Why not?

a trained monkey can select the smallest of three objects

an engineer can select the safest and best

have advice against selecting the lowest bid from Ruskin, 1819-

■ 'It is unwise to pay too much but it is worse to pay too little. When you pay too much you lose a little money... that is all. When you pay too little you sometimes lose everything because the thing you bought was incapable of doing the things it was bought to do.

■ The common law of business balance prohibits paying a little and getting a lot... it cannot be done. If you deal with the lowest bidder it is well to add something for the risk you run.

And if you do that, you will have enough to pay for something

ey Consideration 4. Selecting the lowest bid nt.)

Low bidders and "can-do" type of guys all people'

# ey Consideration 5. Do not do the 'minimum' ected or required

des. Regulations, etc., are minimum requirements n to do more than your peers - it makes sense...

■If you do only one thing more than your peers, his/her pipeline will probably fail first

■ You'll learn from their experience, and act accordingly, so it doesn't happen to you

They will then copy your practices, but

■ You will then move one step ahead again...

**And then their pipeline will fail before yours again!** 

ey Consideration 6. Think Holistic Solutions

ipeline Integrity Management must consider aspects of our pipeline system as it is an egrated process, where all elements affect ety.'

le must apply holistic solutions

### ey Consideration 7. 'Calculations are not ineering'

ey do convey the thought process and design intent. The quality, etc. of calculations indicates the level of care and gence; calculations substantiate,

t do not substitute for judgement.

he use of canned calculations and design approaches without lerstanding their application & limitations, is beneath an ineer's standard of care.'

ey Consideration 8. Management

Smart pigging, risk management programmes, rrect routeing etc., will help you achieve high egrity...

out only good management will GUARANTEE egrity

### ey Consideration 9. Murphy's Law

### MURPHY'S UNIVERSAL LAW:

■ If anything can go wrong, it will.

### **MURPHY'S COROLLARIES:**

- ■No. 1 Left to themselves, things tend to go from bad to worse.
- ■No. 2 It is impossible to make anything foolproof because fools are so ingenious.

### **MURPHY'S CONSTANT:**

■ Matter will be damaged in direct proportion to its value.

### ey Consideration 10. Don't Get it Wrong!

cientists

ned....



### CONCLUSIONS

Integrity Reviews can be conducted on pipelines in a ystematic manner. They provide:

- Pipeline 'health' check,
- Independent review of design and operation,
- Confirmation of existing safety and safe operating limits,
- Confirmation of compliance, and ability to be uprated/reused/sold, etc.,
- Opportunity to undertake remedial action before operational or design discrepancies develop,
- Confirmation of future safety and security of supply to all stakeholders.

You must adopt a 'holistic' approach, taking account of all the ngineering associated with the pipeline system

The resulting integrity plan must be adopted within a

### **ABOUT THE LECTURE & LECTURER**

lecture, and associated paper, was presented at:

The Pipeline Pigging, Integrity Assessment and Repair Conference. February 2001, Houston. Conference Proceedings from Clarion Press, Houston, Tx.

hil Hopkins is a Director of Penspen Ltd., UK, the international pipeline eering company, and was previously Managing Director of Andrew Palmer and ciates, UK, a company of specialist pipeline engineers.

as over 20 years experience in all aspects of pipeline engineering, integrity and gement consultancy and has presented many keynote papers and lectures at ational conferences.

echnical and management training courses and lectures are presented all over orld; additionally he presents lectures and courses on 'Change'\*, for all levels of from Executives to Administration.

u require this lecture (in 1,2 or 3 hour format) or any of his training courses, ct either:

Dr Phil Hopkins, Penspen APA, 4, Amethyst Road, Newcastle Business Park, lewcastle upon Tyne, NE4 7YL, UK,

el 44 (0) 191 273 2430, Fax 44 (0) 191 273 2405, email phil.hopkins@apancl.co.uk

